

# Nutrition Today

## Meeting the challenge of providing nutrition services during the COVID-19 Pandemic.

--Manuscript Draft--

<b>Manuscript Number:</b>	
<b>Full Title:</b>	Meeting the challenge of providing nutrition services during the COVID-19 Pandemic.
<b>Article Type:</b>	Column (Clinical Nutrition)
<b>Keywords:</b>	COVID-19; Medical Nutrition Therapy; Nutrition education; Registered Dietitian Nutritionist; cases; ambulatory care; telehealth; telenutrition; group classes; diabetes prevention education; weight management
<b>Corresponding Author:</b>	Kathryn M Kolasa, PhD RDN LDN EAST CAROLINA UNIVERSITY GREENVILLE, NC UNITED STATES
<b>Corresponding Author Secondary Information:</b>	
<b>Corresponding Author's Institution:</b>	EAST CAROLINA UNIVERSITY
<b>Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:</b>	
<b>First Author:</b>	Kathryn M Kolasa, PhD RDN LDN
<b>First Author Secondary Information:</b>	
<b>Order of Authors:</b>	Kathryn M Kolasa, PhD RDN LDN Kay Craven, MPH Kelly Nordby, MPH
<b>Order of Authors Secondary Information:</b>	
<b>Manuscript Region of Origin:</b>	UNITED STATES
<b>Abstract:</b>	<p>We, Registered Dietitian Nutritionists (RDNs), could have sat on the sidelines in the early days of the pandemic when many RDNs were not considered "essential" workers. Instead, RDNs used their abilities to innovate and adapt to ensure the public, their patients and clients received continuous nutrition services. Some of the strategies adopted were possible because of temporary or emergency flexibilities in policies. We present examples primarily from our outpatient practices with efforts by public health and inpatient RDNs acknowledged. We hope these examples will inspire all to do the work needed to provide increased access to medical nutrition therapy and nutrition education for all.</p>

November 7 2020

Dear Dr. Dwyer

We are pleased to submit to you a clinical column for your consideration. You agreed that a column focused on registered dietitian nutritionists and nutrition educator responses to COVID-19 would be of interest.

As I mentioned in an earlier communication with you that I would like the author list to look like this:

Kathryn M Kolasa PhD, RDN, LDN, Kay Craven, MPH, RDN, LDN; and Kelly Nordby MS, RDN, LDN; with contributions by Lindsay Drier MS, RDN LDN, Kathleen Ascanio MS, RDN, LDN, Robin High MPA, RDN, LDN; and Laura Matarese PhD, RDN, LDN

I think that is a fair statement since those listed as “contributions by” contribute stories which deserve more than an acknowledgement but they don’t rise to the level of authorship. They have all reviewed the complete manuscript. We also had two other internal reviewers make comments for us.

I tried to use something that looked like my usual columns.... The case and the case revisited (to include appropriate references). Where appropriate we identified briefly the problem and the solution. Case 1 has a weight chart as well as a handout. I am a bit ambivalent about having those in there since none of the other cases do. So, you can decide if they add to the presentation or not.

We look forward to your comments and suggestions and then seeing our manuscript in print.

Best wishes

Kathy

## Abstract

We, Registered Dietitian Nutritionists (RDNs) could have sat on the sidelines in the early days of the pandemic when many RDNs were not considered “essential” workers. Instead, RDNs used their abilities to innovate and adapt to ensure the public, their patients and clients received continuous nutrition services. Some of the strategies adopted were possible because of temporary or emergency flexibilities in policies. We present examples primarily from our outpatient practices with efforts by public health and inpatient RDNs acknowledged. We hope these examples will inspire all to do the work needed to provide increased access to medical nutrition therapy and nutrition education for all.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7 **Meeting the challenge of providing nutrition services during the COVID-19 Pandemic.**  
8

9  
10 Kathryn M Kolasa PhD, RDN, LDN; Kay Craven, MPH, RDN, LDN; Kelly Nordby MPH, RDN, LDN; with  
11 contributions from Lindsay Drier MS, RDN LDN, Kathleen Ascanio MS, RDN, LDN; Robin Tant MPA, RDN,  
12 LDN; Ashley Strickland, RDN, LDN, CNSC; Laura Matarese, PhD, RDN, LDN.,CNSC, FADA, FASPEN, FAND;  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18 Jill Jennings MS, RDN, LDN; and Julia Johnstone MS, RDN, LDN.  
19

20 Dr. Kolasa will serve as the corresponding author. 3080 Dartmouth Drive, Greenville NC 27858; 252-  
21  
22  
23 917-1290; fax 252-744-2623; kolasaka@ecu.edu  
24

25  
26 No funding was provided for this manuscript  
27

28  
29 Short title: COVID nutrition services  
30

31  
32 Kathryn Kolasa is Professor Emerita, Brody School of Medicine at East Carolina University and  
33  
34 contributing editor, Nutrition Today.  
35

36  
37 Kay Craven is Section Head, Nutrition Services in the Department of Family Medicine, Brody School of  
38  
39 Medicine at East Carolina University, Greenville, North Carolina, and director of Clinical Nutrition  
40  
41 Services, ECU Physicians, Greenville.  
42  
43

44  
45 Kelly Nordby is Program Coordinator, Eat Smart, Move More, Weigh Less and Eat Smart, Move More  
46  
47 Prevent Diabetes Program, North Carolina State University, Raleigh NC.  
48

49  
50  
51 Lindsay Drier is Clinical Dietitian III, Vidant Nutrition & Weight Loss Clinic, Greenville, NC.  
52

53  
54 Kathleen Ascanio is Nutrition Specialist, Department of Family Medicine, Brody School of Medicine at  
55  
56 East Carolina University.  
57

58  
59 Robin Tant is Nutrition Director WIC Director, Pitt County Health Department Center, Greenville, NC.  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4 Ashley Strickland is the Supervising Clinic Dietitian, Vidant Medical Center.  
5  
6

7 Laura Matarese is Professor, Department of Internal Medicine and Surgery, Brody School of Medicine at  
8  
9 East Carolina University, Greenville, NC.  
10

11  
12 Jill Jennings is Program Director for Healthier Lives at School and Beyond school-based telemedicine  
13  
14 program, Department of Family Medicine, Brody School of Medicine at East Carolina University.  
15  
16

17  
18 Julia Johnstone is lead Nutrition Specialist director for Healthier Lives at School and Beyond school-  
19  
20 based telemedicine program, Department of Family Medicine, Brody School of Medicine at East Carolina  
21  
22 University.  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

## Meeting the challenge of providing nutrition services during the COVID-19 Pandemic.

Kathryn M Kolasa PhD, RDN, LDN; Kay Craven, MPH, RDN, LDN; Kelly Nordby MPH, RDN, LDN; with contributions by Lindsay Drier MS, RDN, LDN; Kathleen Ascanio MS, RDN, LDN; Robin Tant MPA, RDN, LDN; Ashley Strickland, RDN, LDN, CNSC; Laura Matarese PhD, RDN, LDN, CNSC, FADA, FASPEN, FAND; Jill Jennings MS, RDN, LDN; and Julia Johnstone MS, RDN, LDN

### Abstract

We, Registered Dietitian Nutritionists (RDNs), could have sat on the sidelines in the early days of the pandemic when many RDNs were not considered “essential” workers. Instead, RDNs used their abilities to innovate and adapt to ensure the public, their patients and clients received continuous nutrition services. Some of the strategies adopted were possible because of temporary or emergency flexibilities in policies. We present examples primarily from our outpatient practices with efforts by public health and inpatient RDNs acknowledged. We hope these examples will inspire all to do the work needed to provide increased access to medical nutrition therapy and nutrition education for all.

### Introduction

Some hospitals, clinics, WIC programs, and public health agencies deemed their RDNs as essential workers, and their workload may have increased, others were furloughed, some were offered non-nutrition work, or others transitioned to remote work. We knew that the food environment changed for many individuals during the COVID-19 (COVID) lock down. It is almost ironic. One of the strategies RDNs often suggest to patients with poor adherence to their diet plans and goals is to “eat more meals at home.” But it is clear as people joke about their COVID-15 (as in 15 pounds gained) that eating at home does not automatically guarantee a healthier weight or adherence to a meal plan. We know that

1  
2  
3  
4 the nutrition needs of individuals do not go away, but in fact might increase with stress, food insecurity,  
5  
6 and other factors like lack of knowledge about eating healthy on a budget at home or food preparation  
7  
8 skills. (1-2). During the fall of 2020 the reports started to appear that supported the observations we  
9  
10 have made. For example, Niles and coworkers (3) reported a 32% increase in household food insecurity  
11  
12 in Vermont since COVID-19 with 36% classified as newly food insecure. Research reported from UT  
13  
14 Southwestern Medical Center and also Johns Hopkins reported stress eating and binge-watching with  
15  
16 snacking (4). Flanagan and coworkers (5) reported participants in their on-line surveys had healthier  
17  
18 diets, snacked more and ate out less frequently. However, one-third of people with obesity gained  
19  
20 weight during the lockdown, compared to about 21 percent of people with normal weight or  
21  
22 overweight. Puhl and coworkers (6) found young adults who have experienced weight stigma may have  
23  
24 increased vulnerability to distress and maladaptive eating, such as coping with stress by eating or  
25  
26 bingeing during the pandemic. The researchers called for more support. Many individuals need more  
27  
28 than the lists of strategies or advice given on blogs, websites, in newspapers and journal articles (1,2).  
29  
30 How many times have we heard from our patients or clients, "I know what to do, I just don't do it." We  
31  
32 have heard people during COVID say, "I know I should eat healthy, but I crave comfort foods." And we  
33  
34 do know from our experiences many people really do not know what to do in a world where nutrition  
35  
36 misinformation abounds; however, our patients and clients need the assistance of an RDN, nutrition or  
37  
38 diabetes educator. In this article we present 16 cases demonstrating how RDNs and nutrition educators  
39  
40 innovated and adapted their practice to continue serving patients and clients.  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

## Telephone and Tele-health individual counseling

### Case 1: The Situation

Early in the pandemic, it became clear that obesity is a risk factor for a more severe case of COVID. But as early as March 2020, RDNs were trying to help their patients continue their path to achieving a healthy weight and/or preventing weight gain.

John is a 36-year-old male with private insurance. He had been trying to lose weight for more than two years (Figure 1). His starting weight was 442 pounds and was 6 feet tall (BMI = 59). His problem list includes hypertension, sleep apnea, and obesity. His blood pressure was being managed with 50 mg Hydrochlorothiazide, daily.

He has visited with a RDN every two weeks and weekly when he is struggling when COVID 19 hit. He knew from experience he needed accountability to stay on track or he would quickly regain the lost weight. He does not have access to a scale that would accept his weight except at the Family Practice Center. About 6 months before the COVID crisis he was struggling and was started on Saxenda®, an FDA-approved, prescription injectable medicine that, when used with a low-calorie meal plan and increased physical activity, may help some adults lose excess weight. He did report that it helped decrease his appetite. He had agreed to follow the DASH eating plan but found it difficult and subsequently requested a trial with the popular Keto diet. The RDNs in the practice had developed a keto-like plan (See Figure 2) that had more meat than DASH but leaner meat than the popular keto diet books. Over time, they work with their patients to transition them to a more evidence-based approach like the DASH, MEDITERRANEAN or MIND approach. John was willing to cut out sweet cake and sweet drinks. He “hates” vegetables but was working to include more into his meal plan.

When COVID hit, he had lost 61 pounds and his BMI was 51. He was requesting regular visits and even though his RDN was still seeing a limited number of patients face-to-face, he was reluctant to come



1  
2  
3  
4 inside the Center. He recognized his gender and obesity put him at higher risk for COVID. He was really  
5  
6 worried about working from and eating at home and asked if he could attend his visits by phone.  
7  
8

9  
10 **The problem:** a telephone visit with the RDN was not reimbursable by his insurance.  
11

12  
13 **The solution:** John agreed to come to the Center at the appointed time. The Center has a portable  
14  
15 scale (weight about 20 pounds and cumbersome). He would weigh himself in the vestibule of the Center  
16  
17 with a glass partition between it and the waiting room. The RDN would observe and record his weight in  
18  
19 the electronic medical record. He would return to his car and continue his 15-30-minute visit with the  
20  
21 RDN by telephone. They could look at his diet record logged on MyFitness Pal (7). The RDN would  
22  
23 attach any new patient education handouts to his after-visit summary in the electronic record and/or  
24  
25 deliver them to the hood of his car. John has continued to lose weight.  
26  
27  
28  
29

30 **What the RDN had to do:** The RDN was willing to carry a 20-pound scale to the lobby from the office; to  
31  
32 take patient education materials to his car in order to ensure the visit could be reimbursed by his  
33  
34 insurance.  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40

#### 41 **Case 2: The Situation:**

42  
43  
44 Patients referred by their physicians for medical nutrition therapy (MNT) are often resistant to making  
45  
46 and keeping an in-office appointment. A SKYPE (7) visit reduced the barrier.  
47  
48

49  
50 Robert, an 83-year-old overweight male with a history of severe protein energy malnutrition, prostate  
51  
52 cancer, hyperlipidemia, stroke, and seizure disorder. His height was 5 ft 8 inches and weight of 212  
53  
54 pounds (BMI 32). His primary care physician offered him access to the Medicare Benefit--Intensive  
55  
56 Behavioral Therapy for Obesity (IBTO). Thinking the RDN would take away all his favorite foods he told  
57  
58 his primary care provider and family that he was not interested in being seen by the RDN. At the  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4 insistence of his wife and daughter he made an appointment. When he learned he would not have to  
5  
6 pay for the visit he kept the appointment but still expressed that his family “ambushed” him. Before his  
7  
8 follow-up visit COVID hit and he was unwilling to come into the Center but agreed to try a virtual visit.  
9

10  
11 The Solution. Although this physician’s office had not been offering Tele-med visits, it had some  
12  
13 experience in Tele-health. As the medical world was scrambling to provide Tele-med and Tele-health  
14  
15 services to their patients, there was a relaxation on the strict rules governing the security of the  
16  
17 platforms. There was also improvement for reimbursement of visits that had a video component. The  
18  
19 RDN could offer him a variety of platforms. He was familiar with Skype having used it to visit with his  
20  
21 grandchildren, so he selected it. With the telephone as a back-up, they tried twice unsuccessfully to  
22  
23 make a connection. His wife was undaunted and although it took 45 minutes to get it to work, Robert  
24  
25 did visit with the RDN. The RDN noted that this was a completely different Robert. Sitting on his own,  
26  
27 visiting on SKYPE, he shared he was more comfortable and became more engaged. He shared what and  
28  
29 how much he was eating and what gets in his way of following a weight loss plan, specifically snacking  
30  
31 and boredom. He was very transparent and identified what he was willing to and not willing to change.  
32  
33 His wife listened in and went to the kitchen to bring labels of foods they had in their home. Both  
34  
35 husband and wife shared a fear of going out due to COVID. The RDN helped them learn to shop for  
36  
37 groceries online. With time, over several appointments which he kept, his wife learned to add items  
38  
39 discussed to the grocery list while they talked.  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50

51 To be reimbursed the visit needed to follow the 5A’s (Ask, Advise, Assess, Assist, Arrange). The RDN  
52  
53 negotiated with Robert three goals for change. He would purchase and cut-up fruit in baggies (he loves  
54  
55 fruit but doesn’t eat it). He would rid the house of stuff he had been mindlessly snacking on including  
56  
57 crackers and pimiento cheese. Instead of three eggs for breakfast he would have one egg plus two egg  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4 whites in morning, and sausage only on weekends instead of daily. His wife ordered egg whites online  
5  
6 on their grocery order as she did not want to separate the eggs at home. She added fruits to the order  
7  
8 and deleted the sweets they had been planning to order. He agreed to think about what type of exercise  
9  
10 he could do and discuss at his next visit and he agreed to continue to be seen on the IBTO schedule. In  
11  
12 August 2020 (5 months later), he weighed 200 pounds (BMI 27). His goal is to get his weight under 199  
13  
14 pounds.  
15  
16

17  
18  
19 **What the RDN had to do:** Initially, for the first 2-3 visits it took the RDN extra time to call the patient  
20  
21 and his wife on the phone. She showed patience and provided clear instructions to talk them through  
22  
23 the process of connecting via SKYPE (8) . In the early days of COVID, this RDN learned to use several  
24  
25 platforms including SKYPE, WEB-EX (9), FACETIME (10), Doximity (11), ZOOM (12), Adobe connect (13)  
26  
27 and Microsoft teams (14). She practiced with colleagues who were unfamiliar with these platforms until  
28  
29 they could easily log in. She worked with the patients to transition them to the more cumbersome but  
30  
31 secure platforms. One benefit to the practice was that then the patients were ready for their next  
32  
33 physician appointment to have a smooth Tele-med visit.  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41

### 42 **Cases 3 and 4. The Situation.**

43  
44  
45 Patients report they would not have continued visits or not consistently if they couldn't do them  
46  
47 virtually. These are patients with children, full schedules, and living at a distance from the hospital-  
48  
49 based Lifestyle Medicine clinic where several RDNs provide services.  
50  
51

52  
53 **Cases 3.** Sheila is a 41-year-old female with 2 children who typically works from home. She is used to  
54  
55 communicating with many professionals via telephone, FACETIME, and Skype. She needs to be available  
56  
57 when the clients call and so booking a face-to-face appointment, driving to the center, waiting to see  
58  
59 the RDN and returning home just doesn't work consistently for her. Since she is working on losing her  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4 pregnancy weight, she doesn't have a lot to lose and so the time commitment for a face-to-face meeting  
5  
6 is more than she can "afford". It took some time to develop a rapport with her since she and her  
7  
8 husband, who does most of the grocery shopping and cooking, don't agree on the style of eating. She  
9  
10 wants to eat more plant-based and he does not. It took several weeks of food logs to recognize she was  
11  
12 eating lots of processed food/quick convenient foods and losing no weight. With the continued support  
13  
14 each month from the RDN she was able to find a solution in which she could eat healthier and more  
15  
16 plant based meals and her husband didn't have to cook every night, which was beneficial for both of  
17  
18 them.  
19  
20  
21

22  
23  
24 **Case 4.** Violet lives an hour away from the clinic so doing virtual visits saves her so much time. She is in  
25  
26 the process of getting bariatric surgery for morbid obesity, so she must see the RDN for 4 visits prior to  
27  
28 surgery. Her medication for her hypothyroidism had been at a dosage that was not working and making  
29  
30 it difficult for her to lose any weight. However, the virtual video conferencing has led her to make many  
31  
32 changes including decreasing her intake of simple carbohydrates and an increased intake of fruits and  
33  
34 non-starchy vegetables. She and her husband are getting less "take out" because of discussions about  
35  
36 easy healthy food prep at home. She has been receiving nutrition services virtually during her lunch  
37  
38 break for 3 months. Violet admits if she had to take time off from work and drive into the clinic, she  
39  
40 may not have made the progress required to be cleared for surgery. She has agreed she will continue  
41  
42 with the visits post-surgery because she can do it virtually.  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

48 **What the RDN had to do.** The RDN needed to be well versed in the use of various platforms; willing to  
49  
50 change scheduling procedures; and spend extra time emailing or snail mailing handouts. Most of this  
51  
52 activity was not reimbursed and the RDN either needed to convince their supervisor to provide the time  
53  
54 or be willing to do it on her own.  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

### Telephone and Telehealth CASES 1-4 revisited

As early as 2008, Eakin and coworkers (15) published one of many studies that demonstrate adults patients, even from disadvantaged communities, can achieve modest improvements in diet and physical activity through a telephone delivered intervention—as good and sometimes even better than face-to-face counseling (16-23). Nutrition care delivered via telephone for other conditions, too, have been found to be effective (24-25). Some experts believe that using the telephone is a good place to start to take individuals across the digital divide (26). In addition to improved adherence to medical nutrition therapy goals, Benson (27) demonstrated RDNs could assist patients with medication counseling. In 2015, Rollo and coworkers (28) noted that video conferencing was underutilized in nutrition care, recognized the unique characteristics that arise when using video consultations for weight management delivered by an RDN, and developed a checklist to support RDNs in the delivery of effective and efficient virtual nutrition care. More recently several researchers demonstrated Telemedicine counseling and coaching can effectively assist patients in losing weight and in some study's metabolic markers (29-31). There is robust literature supporting the efficacy of telehealth services for promoting diet changes and weight management (32). Telephone counseling addresses barriers of geographic inaccessibility and patient and provider time constraints. And while organizations like the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics (33) have provided their membership with a guide to practice Telehealth and Tele-nutrition (2020) as well as best practice tips (34), payer coverage and payment policies have limited the use of telehealth services. In some cases, reimbursement for Telehealth services provided by all providers are significantly less than face-to-face. Temporary flexibilities and changes to rules in different practice settings has allowed RDNS to further demonstrate how the use of Telehealth can improve care as well as increase the demand for Medical Nutrition Therapy (35). Mehta and coworkers (2020) have outlined how telehealth one-on-one visits have been used during COVID (36). The Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics has advice on how to harness the opportunities and momentum of Telehealth (33-35)

## Diabetes Prevention and Weight Management Group Classes Transition to Virtual

### Cases 5-10. The Situation: Diabetes Prevention.

The National Diabetes Prevention Program (National DPP) is a partnership of public and private organizations working to prevent or delay type 2 diabetes (37). One feature of the program, a CDC-recognized lifestyle change program, is that these programs are located throughout the United States

One program, the “Eat Smart, Move More, Prevent Diabetes” is a year-long, CDC-recognized diabetes prevention program based on proven strategies to achieve and maintain a healthy weight offered by NC State University (38). It has been offered online since 2016 and has served approximately 1, 800 participants. It has been offered via face-to-face classes since 2018 and has served about 102 participants. The classes are one hour long and meet approximately weekly for the first 16 weeks. The on-line program delivered through GoToTraining (LogMeIn product) platform also offers a private FACEBOOK page for each class and a MyProgressPortal where members communicate with the instructor outside of class. The face-to-face classes create an opportunity to establish rapport between the instructor and participants and among the participants themselves. Participants select a class member to be their “buddy” for social support. Then 4-6 lessons are held every three weeks and then 4 lessons are held monthly. The average size of the online class is 15, and the face-to-face class is 18.

Key concepts include planning and tracking eating habits and living mindfully to prevent diabetes. The program is delivered in a real-time, online format so participants see and hear their instructor during live sessions, with the ability to interact with the instructor as well as classmates. Participants work through

1  
2  
3  
4 challenges together as a group and celebrate each other's successes. These classes are taught by a  
5  
6 trained lifestyle coach and RDN who provides one-on-one support outside of class.  
7  
8

9  
10 Twenty-seven residents of a 55+ active adult community began an in-person format class in mid-  
11  
12 February 2020. Participants range in age from mid 50s to early 80s. In March when the governor's order  
13  
14 restricted meetings of groups or more than 10 people due to the risk of COVID-19, the RDN instructor  
15  
16 transitioned to the online delivery format retaining all but one of the participants. After 6 months all  
17  
18 continued participating.  
19  
20

21  
22 **Case 5.** Seventy -five-year-old Mrs. F would not have signed up for the online format. She is a retired  
23  
24 nurse and "knows what to do" but reports that coming to the meetings and getting on a scale keeps her  
25  
26 motivated. Being around other people helps, but she says it really is about someone (the instructor)  
27  
28 checking in on her as she lives alone. Her initial reaction when she learned that the program was going  
29  
30 virtual was that it would probably be ok because she knew with a stay-at-home order, she wouldn't be  
31  
32 able to go out and do anything. Since COVID, she has been cooking more meals at home even though  
33  
34 she gets bored with it and knows her eating habits are worse due to boredom and loneliness. Her BMI  
35  
36 at program entry was 32.7 and at the 6-month mark remained essentially unchanged. She believes she  
37  
38 would have gained weight if the program had stopped as her triggers for overeating are loneliness and  
39  
40 boredom. She is not as social as she would like to be and notes a sadness over losing the ability to have  
41  
42 fun as a senior, feeling stuck that she cannot go out for a cup of coffee. The online program is ok  
43  
44 because she can exercise before the class and not have to worry about fixing her hair. Otherwise there  
45  
46 is not much she really likes about the online program. It makes her sit too long. She does not use the  
47  
48 FACEBOOK page nor keep up with her buddy. She misses the cohesiveness of the in-person class and  
49  
50 the conversation that people have with each other and that the instructor has with the group. She does  
51  
52 plan to stick with it for the next 6 months in hopes of losing weight, but she is bored.  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7 **Case 6.** Seventy-one-year-old Mrs. M signed up for the program because her mother and grandmother  
8 had diabetes and her grandson has type 1DM. She would not have signed up for an online class and  
9 initially panicked at the thought of going virtual. Although she had an IPAD, she had no confidence that  
10 she would participate online. She says she is not very tech savvy and prefers social gatherings to  
11 exchange ideas. The buddy she met at the first class helped her transition. Mrs. M has taken more  
12 responsibility for her eating habits since COVID, including eating breakfast and snacking less on junk  
13 food. She has been very successful in the class starting with a baseline BMI of 38.7 and at 6 months  
14 measuring 30.7, a loss of about 40 pounds. She believes she would have lost some but not as much  
15 weight if the program ended because she was also doing weight Watchers. This program holds her  
16 accountable for tracking her food and exercise. She misses the personal contact of the face-to-face but  
17 says it works because they had a face-to-face contract to make the program personal when it went  
18 online. She likes the encouragement from others as well as swapping recipes. She does not use the  
19 FACEBOOK page.  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37

38 **Case 7.** Seventy-nine-year-old Mrs. B is a 79-year old female who for the first time in over 40 years  
39 decided to do something about her weight. At baseline, her BMI was 37.7 and 32.0 at 6 months, a loss of  
40 31 pounds. A friend who had taken the course said that the program was high quality, she lost weight  
41 and looked forward to attending. Although Mrs. B is comfortable with computers, she lives alone, and  
42 the class reportedly had a social aspect as well as it was held at a convenient location in their community  
43 center. If the class had stopped rather than transitioned to online, she would not have been successful,  
44 as she needs accountability and feels she gets great attention from the instructor through the  
45 MyProgress Portal. She doesn't use FACEBOOK often but stays in touch with the 6 buddies she made  
46 during the early face-to-face visits.  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7 **The problem.** After 2 weeks, the Governor’s order restricted meetings of groups or more than 10  
8 people due to the risk of COVID-19. The Eat Smart, Move More, Prevent Diabetes program would need  
9 to shut down or meet virtually. The program attracted participants who were either not computer  
10 savvy, knew they required accountability or desired a social activity.  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19

20 **The solution.** The Eat Smart, Move More Prevent Diabetes program already had several features that  
21 provided accountability and opportunities for socialization. MyProgress is a secure portal to record  
22 weekly numbers for physical activity, weight and received private feedback from instructor.  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30

31 **What the RDN had to do.** The RDN needed to ensure that technical assistance was provided as needed  
32 to join the class. To foster connectedness similar to time for conversations before and after face-to-  
33 face classes among participants, the instructor allowed participants extra time to connect before and  
34 after the lesson by opening up the microphones for participants to engage in conversation not  
35 necessarily related to the class content. In order to continue social support outside of class, a secure  
36 portal called the My Progress Portal is used for participants to record their weekly numbers for physical  
37 activity and weight and receive private feedback and support from their instructor. Additionally, a  
38 private Facebook page was created for the class participants to share exercise videos, recipes, and other  
39 virtual opportunities. Since the main purpose of the Facebook page is for participants to communicate  
40 and share resources among themselves, the instructors report this requires about an additional 10  
41 minutes per week to respond to participant posts or to create a brief post to inspire conversation among  
42 participants.  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

## **Case 8 The Situation: Weight Management Class goes Virtual with Drive Through Recipe Ingredient**

### **Pick-Up.**

For the last 7 years an interprofessional team provides a free weight management class for any patient of the Family Medicine Center who cares to attend. The class meets the need of providing access to weight management services for those without insurance coverage as well as an educational activity for medical students. Under normal circumstances about 35 patients attend a weekly class presented by a RDN, exercise or behavioral specialist. Monthly there is a food demonstration providing participants a taste of a healthy dish—and the ingredients to take home and try the recipe. Many of these patients believe eating healthy is expensive and this activity gives them a financially risk-free opportunity to try a new affordable dish. The course delivery shifted to the WebEx platform with relative ease. The creating, taping, editing, and uploading a video of the food demonstration seemed to be a reasonable replacement for the hands-on food demonstration.

**The problems:** 1) The preparation of the videos required about two additional hours of the RDNs time. They used the phone to record the demo using a free APP iMovie. It was suitable for this activity because it allowed addition of text and music. However, this additional time took away from revenue generating work. The RDNs then searched and found suitable videos on Delish.com and Skinnytaste.com; however, then learned that most of the participants did not either have access to a device that could show the video, or they did not have a data plan. 2) At the beginning of COVID grocery stores were limiting the quantities many staple type items that were used in the recipes or didn't have sufficient quantities as supplies were stretched.

**The Solutions:** 1) The RDNs printed the recipes with very specific instructions and placed them in the recipe ingredient bag. They followed up at the next class period with a discussion of how the participants did in their preparation of the recipe. 2) The NC Cooperative Extension stepped in and

1  
2  
3  
4 teamed up with local farmers who sell their produce at the local farmers market to provide the needed  
5  
6 ingredients for the class. The first one required 34 dozen eggs, zucchini, onions, beets, turnips, radishes,  
7  
8 cucumbers, strawberries, sweet potatoes, tomatoes and more than 100 pounds of cabbage. The class  
9  
10 participants picked up their supplies during a scheduled drive-through at the Center.  
11  
12  
13

14 **Cases 8-10.** Jane started taking part in the program after she was diagnosed as being pre-diabetic. She  
15  
16 had recently moved from a northern community and had been enjoying the fried food and sweet tea  
17  
18 offerings of her new town, gaining 20 pounds. She earned recognition as the “voice of motivation” for  
19  
20 the class, especially regarding physical activity. She noted, “This program is a major source of support  
21  
22 and I personally enjoy the accountability factor.” She observed that it would have been easy for the  
23  
24 Center to suspend the program and, “I totally appreciate that they didn’t. It feels like I have the support  
25  
26 of the community — from the people involved with the program to the farmers and their contributions  
27  
28 to our program, it has been tremendous.” She lost 38 pounds and appreciated she could continue via  
29  
30 WebEx phone connection. Tanisha joined the program 6 years ago and is maintaining a 25-pound loss.  
31  
32 She stated, “It shows that they really care for their patients and I just thank God for them. I love them  
33  
34 and I’ve loved the staff ever since I’ve been coming here. New responsibilities at home keep her from  
35  
36 joining weekly. She is eager to return to face-to-face meetings since once she leaves her home, she can  
37  
38 conduct her personal business. Mike was new to the program in fall 2019. He had no trouble  
39  
40 transitioning to online and in fact was a great help to others. He remarked, “My diet’s gotten much  
41  
42 better. It used to be junk food, donuts, peanut butter and jelly sandwiches and toaster pastries. About  
43  
44 once a week, I cook what I learn here. It tastes really good and it’s been great for my weight, health, and  
45  
46 energy level.”  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53

54  
55 **What the RDNs had to do:** Remind herself and others that while the world and the health care  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4 system has focused on COVID, people had health concerns before COVID, and these health concerns  
5  
6 continue to be a challenge and will be when COVID is over. The team needed to recognize that  
7  
8 individuals are stressed and may be eating more or less based on how they manage their stress and  
9  
10 needed support and coping skills. They needed to move quickly to keep their patients engaged in the  
11  
12 weight management journey but also find ways to both do not take extra staff time and still meet the  
13  
14 needs of the patients participating. They were able to give personal encouragement as the patients  
15  
16 collected their grocery bag. The RDNs also had to seek other avenues to purchase needed ingredients,  
17  
18 work out ordering, payment, and delivery procedures. Farmers, patients and RDNs found this new  
19  
20 partnership to be one they would hopefully continue during the growing season.  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

#### 29 **Group Classes Transition to Virtual CASES 5-10 revisited**

30  
31  
32 As with one-on-one nutrition interventions there is evidence that group classes including DSME (39-40)  
33  
34 could be satisfactorily delivered to a remote site with similar outcomes as face-to-face delivery.  
35  
36

37 Although during the initial COVID lockdowns, the RDNs were faced with the choice of going virtual or  
38  
39 postponing the classes, concerns about accessibility to the needed equipment and broadband internet  
40  
41 service were raised. Almathami and coworkers (41) discuss those issues.  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

48 As with the Telehealth counseling sessions, the RDNs needed to find support for those who had  
49  
50 equipment and access to make the transition to virtual classes. It is difficult to know how many  
51  
52 residents cannot access video delivered care. In spring 2019, before COVID, 185 patients in two rural  
53  
54 eastern North Carolina clinics that are members of the NC Association of Free and Charitable clinics  
55  
56 were surveyed. Most (78.8%) said they owned a SMART phone. Most (63.7%) had a computer or  
57  
58 regular access to a computer. Most (80.4%) had regular Internet access and 68.2% said they used social  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4 media (42). That report did not however, indicate what type of costs are associated with use of their  
5  
6 devices.  
7  
8  
9

10  
11  
12 COVID with its social distancing strategies to limit the spread of the virus brings attention to the need to  
13  
14 help patients cope with loneliness and social isolation. Earlier work by Lynch and coworkers highlighted  
15  
16 the importance of social support found in group interventions for African Americans to improve their  
17  
18 glycemic control (43). Many of the participants of the Eat Smart, Move More, Prevent Diabetes program  
19  
20 joined the face-to-face delivery in part because of the opportunity for social interaction. Hwang and  
21  
22 coworkers (2020) describe the adverse consequences, including poor dietary choices and sedentary  
23  
24 behavior of loneliness and isolation (44). The ESMM team added features to their online intervention to  
25  
26 address that concern. Going forward, they will explore the use of blended virtual and face-to-face to  
27  
28 recruit and retain participants into the program. Venditti and coworkers (2020) have found that for  
29  
30 elderly people that participated in a group intervention then were successfully supported in weight  
31  
32 maintenance through telephone contact (31). Food demonstrations have become popular elements in  
33  
34 group weight and diabetes classes. Educators are sharing resources such as a webinar demonstrating  
35  
36 how to deliver virtual cooking classes (45-46).  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46

### 47 **Other Efforts to Provide Needed Nutrition Services**

#### 48 **Case 11 The Situation. Reducing Community Risk for COVID Fatigue**

49  
50  
51 **Helping the community remember healthy eating is important.** As a public service one RDN has  
52  
53 published a weekly article in the local newspaper since 1986. The family medicine team was bemoaning  
54  
55 the fact that so few people in the community were wearing face masks. One said to the RDN, “you have  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4 a bully pulpit, why don't you use it!" Since that date in April the column has opened with a healthy  
5 eating during COVID tip and a reminder to follow the 3 Ws (wear a face covering, wash your hands, wait  
6  
7 6 feet), eat healthy and be physically active.  
8  
9

10  
11  
12 **What the RDN had to do.** She had to convince the newspaper editor to donate the extra space for the  
13  
14 COVID message.  
15  
16

17  
18 **Case 12. The Situation. Staying in Touch when going virtual is not an option.**  
19

20 "Healthier Lives at School and Beyond", a tri-fold partnership between East Carolina University, the  
21 Center for Rural Health Innovation, and Duplin County Schools, is a grant-funded school-based  
22 telemedicine program, now in its fifth year (47-48). It is designed to expand access to quality health care  
23 services (acute minor medical, behavioral health, and nutrition education) in rural areas without  
24 adequate opportunities. The program services, available to approximately 10,000 students and 1,000  
25 teachers/staff during normal school hours, offers population-tailored nutrition education via telehealth  
26 over a HIPPA-compliant platform. With the closure of schools due to COVID the RDNs had to find  
27 alternate ways of connecting with the children and their families outside of school buildings where Wi-Fi  
28 access is readily available and reliable. While not all parents were eager to continue with the services at  
29 home due to myriad of stressors and barriers such as lack of access to internet, no childcare, and  
30 requirements of homeschooling, many did request health and wellness information. That information  
31 included budget friendly recipes and shopping lists for local stores. The RDNs increased the frequency  
32 of production and distribution of their wellness newsletter from every 6-7 weeks to weekly. The  
33 newsletters were shared with school staff as well as the students' parents. Additionally, bi-monthly  
34 virtual group support sessions co-led by the RDNs and behavioral health therapists are now being  
35 offered to all staff and faculty (47-48). Furthermore, the team traveled with a pediatrician to several  
36 elementary schools across the district to provide physicals prior to the state deadline for any student in  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4 need. Because of these efforts, 305 of complete physicals results in nutrition referrals, all of which will  
5  
6 be delivered via telehealth and will support the original school-based model of care delivery.  
7  
8

9  
10 **What the RDN had to do.** The RDNs recognized that many families did not have the devices or  
11  
12 connections needed to receive the telehealth services from home. Additionally, they recognized  
13  
14 families had other constraints keeping them from full participation. Therefore, it was necessary to  
15  
16 reimagine how to reach staff, faculty and students and their families during a time when schools were  
17  
18 shut down and hybrid and/or remote learning would become the new norm.  
19  
20

21  
22 **Case 13. The Situation. WIC Services were uninterrupted but not everyone knew.** WIC participants are  
23  
24 accustomed to face-to-face visits to obtain their benefits as well as nutrition education. The local WIC  
25  
26 office remained open for face-to-face services however, fewer women in our state were able/willing to  
27  
28 attend the clinic where they typically received education and information about their benefits. (1). It  
29  
30 became clear that not all clients were aware they had benefits as the state was automatically issuing  
31  
32 them. So, efforts were made to ensure they knew the benefits were added directly to their eWIC  
33  
34 account and that the Bnft\* is their best tool for WIC. Since clients did not need to come to the office for  
35  
36 their benefits, the WIC team provided education on the phone and through mailings. In addition, all WIC  
37  
38 staff contacted as many of the recipients as they could reach using the Stated Issued Report to ensure  
39  
40 the participants were aware that their benefits were loaded. For women needing breast pumps,  
41  
42 curbside and drop off services were offered by the nutrition and breastfeeding staff (49).  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50

51  
52 **What the RDNs had to do.** The WIC staff spent more time engaging in social media and mail to alert  
53  
54 women of their benefits. They spent much time on the telephone completing assessments and  
55  
56 providing nutrition education that would have normally, and more efficiently, been completed in the  
57  
58 office.  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7 **Cases 14, 15 and 16. The situation and solutions. Seizing the opportunity when it knocked...sharing**

8  
9 **RDN expertise with physicians**

10  
11  
12 **Case 14.** While the number of physicians recognizing the role of nutrition in preventing and managing  
13 chronic conditions is growing, the amount and quality of nutrition training in medical school, residency  
14 and CME programs remains limited. Many clinicians still do not provide evidence based dietary  
15 guidance to their patients. Faced with questions from his patients about which dietary supplements will  
16 prevent or cure COVID, one nutrition friendly physician in the practice requested a patient education  
17 handout as well as a primer for the clinicians on dietary supplements. The RDNs, already with full  
18 schedules, responded to the request within 48 hours and the handouts were widely distributed  
19 throughout the medical community and to patients. The primer served as the basis for several regional  
20 newspaper articles and posts by local bloggers.  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32

33  
34 **What the RDN had to do.** 1) For the dietary supplement primer for the outpatient clinic it took the  
35 RDNs willingness to respond quickly to draft the patient education handout based on current knowledge  
36 and access to resources, and engage physicians and medical librarians who have worked cooperatively  
37 in the past to quickly review and quickly respond to the critique.  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44

45 **Case 15.** The inpatient team at the local hospital, as in many hospitals throughout the country, were  
46 tasked to find appropriate nutrition strategies for treating these complex COVID patients in the critical  
47 care state. After an extensive review of the scientific literature, it was noted that there where was very  
48 little data regarding the safety and efficacy of nutrition interventions for COVID 19 (50). The health care  
49 team consulted with RDNs for information about nutrition interventions including administration of  
50 pharmacologic doses of micronutrients. At the time, data on the use of vitamin D and zinc was limited  
51 and based on interventions in other viral infections. Thus, a protocol was developed based on the  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65



1  
2  
3  
4 dosages used in these other viral diseases by two RDNs. Controversies arose over the use of  
5  
6 pharmacologic doses of intravenous ascorbic acid. After an extensive review and evaluation of the  
7  
8 literature, the decision was made not to include the routine use intravenous ascorbic acid. The nutrition  
9  
10 aspects and supporting data of the COVID protocol were presented at Internal Medicine Grand Rounds  
11  
12 for the Brody School of Medicine’s faculty, staff, students, and community physicians (50). The RDNs  
13  
14 took the opportunity to address nutrition as a key component in recovery of critically sick patients while  
15  
16 there was a keen interest in learning about it (51).  
17  
18  
19  
20

21 **What the RDN had to do.** The RDN was responsible for an extensive review and evaluation of the  
22  
23 scientific literature along with recommending for or against specific nutrients and doses and  
24  
25 interprofessional conferencing with the infectious disease physicians and pharmacists.  
26  
27  
28

29 **Case 16. HIV Outpatient Clinic.** Patients seen in the HIV clinic posed a unique challenge. The disease  
30  
31 process itself results in compromised immune function. Protection of our patients was our primary  
32  
33 concern while providing optimal nutrition care. As a result, the clinic moved to Telehealth for most of  
34  
35 the patients. They continued to see those patients receiving high risk nutrition interventions in the clinic.  
36  
37  
38

39 **What the RDN had to do.** In addition to the efforts described above to help patients access Telehealth,  
40  
41 the RDN prepared information for a booklet designed for those patients with HIV who are  
42  
43 immunocompromised.  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52

### 53 **A FINAL COMMENT**

54  
55  
56 We are beginning to see evidence that supports our observations that stay-at home orders changed  
57  
58 health behaviors. Reports of the impact COVID-19 has had on the quality of diet and weight do vary  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4 from study to study. COVID-19 presented challenges and opportunities for the nutrition community to  
5  
6 demonstrate its ability to innovate and adapt to provide nutrition care to our patients and communities.  
7  
8 We report only a few cases to illustrate what RDNs have accomplished. Some of the innovations were  
9  
10 simple while others more complex. There are additional stories and experiences on the website of the  
11  
12 Food & Nutrition Magazine (52) and the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics (53). Bonci (2020)  
13  
14 presented the results of an online survey commissioned by the California Prune Board to understand the  
15  
16 impact of COVID-19 on the dietetics profession as well as consumer interests and behaviors during the  
17  
18 pandemic. The survey was answered by 1,076 in the dietetics profession (54). While only a few think  
19  
20 that Telehealth individual and group classes can totally replace in person visits or face-to-face programs,  
21  
22 all are hopeful that previous barriers that limited access to Telehealth and Telenutrition services, like  
23  
24 requiring video documentation of weights, or poor reimbursement will not be reinstated. It has been  
25  
26 shown that disparities in access to Internet and video visits may exist disproportionately across the US  
27  
28 and races (55). Mehta and coworkers suggest that it makes sense to continue telehealth services after  
29  
30 the effects of the pandemic to keep the framework for group classes in place and to work toward  
31  
32 finding the best combination of telehealth and in-person visits to meet the needs of the patient (36).  
33  
34 The Taskforce on Telehealth Policy (56), although not specifically mentioning nutrition services,  
35  
36 suggested that reducing restrictions resulted in improved access, safety, convenience, efficacy, no-show  
37  
38 rates, greater adherence to chronic disease management plan, and patients experience of care. They call  
39  
40 for a permanent change in policy that allows for various types of clinicians and protocols that eliminate  
41  
42 unnecessary restrictions.  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

## References

1. Haynes-Maslow L. Exploring the Impact of COVID-19 on Food Security and Health Behavior in NC. Faithful Families Webinar. NC State University, Raleigh, NC. July 16,2020  
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7k72w46f9A4>. Accessed September 30, 2020
2. Parekh N, Deirlein A. Health behaviors during the COVID-19 pandemic. Implications for obesity. *Public Health Nutr.* 2020. 10.1017/s1368980020003031
3. Niles MT, Bertmann F, Belarmino EH et al. The early food insecurity impacts of COVID-19. *Nutrients.* 2020.12:2095-2104
4. Thompson D. Pandemic lockdowns made it harder to lose weight, study says. *Health News.* Nov. 4, 2020. *UPI.com.* accessed November 5, 2020.
5. Flanagan W, Bey RA, Fearnbach SN, et al. The impact of COVID-19 stay-at-home orders on health behaviors in adults. *Obesity.* doi: 10.1002/oby.23066
6. Puhl RM, Lessard LM, Larson N et al. Weight stigma as a predictor of distress and maladaptive eating behaviors during COVID-10: Longitudinal findings from the EAT Study. *Ann Behav. Med.* 2020; 54:738-746.
7. Under Armour. *Myfitnesspal.com.* accessed October 2, 2020
8. Microsoft. Skype Communication tool. *www.skype.com.* Accessed October 3, 2020.
9. Cisco WebEx. WebEx online meetings, videoconferencing. *Webex.com*
10. FACETIME. *Apps.apple.com* Accessed October 3, 2020.
11. Doximity. A network of medical professionals and APP. <https://www.doximity.com>. Accessed October 31, 2020.

- 1  
2  
3  
4 12. ZOOM. Zoom.us. Accessed October 31, 2020.  
5  
6
- 7 13. Adobe Connect. www.adobe.com. Accessed October 31, 2020  
8  
9
- 10 14. Microsoft Teams. www.microsoft.com. Accessed October 31, 2020  
11  
12
- 13 15. Eakin E, Reeves M, Lawler S et al. Telephone counseling for physical activity and diet in primary  
14 care patients. Am J Prev Med. 2008;doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2008.09.042.  
15  
16
- 17 16. Digenio AG, Mancuso JP, Gerber RA et al. Comparison of methods of delivering a lifestyle  
18 modification program for obese patients: a randomized trial. Ann Intern Med. 2009;150(4):256-  
19 262/rkopen.2020.6764  
20  
21
- 22 17. Delahanty LM, Levy DE, Chang Y et al. Effectiveness of lifestyle intervention for Type 2 Diabetes  
23 in primary care: the REAL HEALTH- diabetes randomized clinical trial. J Gen Intern Med. 2020; Jan 21.  
24 Doi:10.1007.s11606-019-05629-9.  
25  
26
- 27 18. Alencar M, Johnson K, Gray V et al. Telehealth-based health coaching increases m-Health device  
28 adherence and rate of weight loss in obese participants. Telemed J E Health. 2020;26(3):365-368.  
29  
30
- 31 19. Marra MV, Lilly CL, Nelson KR et al. A pilot randomized controlled trial of a Telenutrition weight  
32 loss intervention in middle-aged and older men with multiple risk factors for cardiovascular disease.  
33 Nutrients. 2019;11,229; doi:10.3390/nu11020229  
34  
35
- 36 20. Mohamad H, Ntessalen M, Craig LCA, et al. A self-help diet and physical activity intervention  
37 with dietetic support for weight management in men treated for prostate cancer: Pilot study of the  
38 Prostate Cancer Weight Management (PRO-MAN) randomized controlled trial. Br J Nutr. 2019;122::592-  
39 600.  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

- 1  
2  
3  
4 21. Vincze L, Rollo ME, Hutchesson MJ. Postpartum women's perspective of engaging with a  
5 dietitian and exercise physiologist via video consultations for weight management: A qualitative  
6 evaluation. *Healthcare*. 2018. 6(8): doi:10.3390/healthcare6010008  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12 22. Chad-Friedman E, Pearsall M, Miller KM et al. Total lifestyle coaching: a pilot study evaluating  
13 the effectiveness of a Mind-Body and nutrition telephone coaching program for obese adults at a  
14 community health center. *Global Adv Health Med*. 2018; 7:1-10.  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20 23. Perri MG, Shankar MN, Daniels MJ et al. Effect of telehealth extended care for maintenance of  
21 weight loss in rural US communities: A randomized clinical trial. *JAMANetworkOpen*.2020;3(6):  
22 e206764.doi:10.1001/jamanetwo  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28 24. Hanna L, Huggins CE, Furness K et al. Effect of early and intensive nutrition care delivered via  
29 telephone or mobile application, on quality of life in people with upper gastrointestinal cancer: study  
30 protocol of a randomized controlled trial. *BMC Cancer*. 2018; 18:707. [https://doi.org/10.1186/s12885-](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12885-018-4595-z)  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38 25. Warner MM, Tong A, Campbell KL et al. Patients' experiences and perspectives of Telehealth  
39 coaching with a dietitian to improve diet quality in Chronic Kidney Diseases: A qualitative interview  
40 study. *JAND*. 2019; 119(8):1362-1374.  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46 26. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine 2020. Social Isolation and Loneliness  
47 in Older Adults: Opportunities for the Health Care System. Washington, DC: The National Academies  
48 Press. <https://doi.org/10.17226/25663>.  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54 27. Benson GA, Sidebottom A, Hayes J et al. Impact of ENHANCED (diEtitiaNs Helping pAtieNts CarE  
55 for Diabetes) Telemedicine Randomized Controlled Trial on Diabetes Optimal Care Outcomes in Patients  
56 with Type 2 Diabetes. *J Acad Nutr Dietet*. 2019; 229(4):585-598.  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

- 1  
2  
3  
4 28. Rollo ME, Hutchesson MJ, Burrows TL, et al. Video consultations and virtual nutrition care  
5  
6  
7 for weight management. *J Acad Nutr Dietet.* 2015;115(8):1213-1225.  
8  
9
- 10 29. Johnson KE, Alencar MK, Coakley KE et al. Telemedicine-Based Health Coaching Is Effective for  
11  
12 Inducing Weight Loss and Improving Metabolic Markers. *TELEMEDICINE and e-Health.* 2019; 25(2): 85-  
13  
14 92. DOI: 10.1089/tmj.2018.0002  
15  
16  
17
- 18 30. Huang JW, Lin YY, WU NY. The effectiveness of telemedicine on body mass index: a systematic  
19  
20 review and meta-analysis. *J Telemed Telecare.* 2019;25(7)389-401.  
21  
22  
23
- 24 31. Venditti EM, Marcus MD, Miller RG et al. Group lifestyle phone maintenance for weight, health,  
25  
26 and physical function in adults ages 65-80 years: A randomized clinical trial, *J Gerontol: Series A,*  
27  
28 glaa229, <https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/glaa229>; September 12, 2020.  
29  
30
- 31 32. Kelly JT, Reidlinger DP, Hoffmann TC et al. Telehealth methods to deliver dietary interventions  
32  
33 in adults with chronic disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Clin Nutr.*  
34  
35 2016;104(6)1693-1702.  
36  
37  
38
- 39 33. Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics. Telehealth.  
40  
41 <https://www.eatrightpro.org/practice/practice-resources/telehealth>. Accessed September 25, 2020.  
42  
43  
44
- 45 34. Peregrin T. Telehealth is transforming health care: what you need to know to practice  
46  
47 Telenutrition. *J Acad Nutr Diet.* 2019;119(11):1916-1920.  
48  
49
- 50 35. Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics. Power of Payment. Harness the Opportunities and  
51  
52 Momentum of Telehealth. [www.eatright.webex.com](http://www.eatright.webex.com) Accessed November 6, 2020.  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

- 1  
2  
3  
4 36. Mehta P, Stahl MG, Germone M et al. Telehealth and nutrition support during COVID-19  
5  
6 pandemic. *J Acad Nutr Diet* 2020; doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jand.2020.07.013>  
7  
8  
9  
10 37. Centers for Disease Control D. National Diabetes Prevention Program.  
11  
12 <https://www.cdc.gov/diabetes/prevention>. Accessed October 31, 2020.  
13  
14  
15 38. Eat Smart Move More. [Esmmpreventdiabetes.com](http://Esmmpreventdiabetes.com). Accessed October 31, 2020.  
16  
17  
18 39 Kearns JW, Bowerman D, Kemmis K, et al. Group diabetes education administered through  
19  
20 telemedicine: tools used and lessons learned. *TELEMED and eHealth*. 2012;18(5):347-354.  
21  
22  
23 40. Dunn C, Olabode-Dada O, Whetstone L, et al. Mindful eating and weight loss, results from a  
24  
25 randomized trial. *J Fam Med & Comm Health*. 2018; 5(3):1152-1159.  
26  
27  
28 41. Almathami HKY, Win KT, Vlahu-Gjorgievska E. Barriers and facilitators that influence  
29  
30 telemedicine-base, real time, online consultation at patients' homes: Systematic literature review. *J*  
31  
32 *Med Internet Res*. 2020(2): e16407.  
33  
34  
35 42. McBride O, Schneider E, Das B et al In person, online or social media? Preferences of low-  
36  
37 income patients for nutrition and physical activity programming within community clinics. *J Acad Nutr*  
38  
39 *Dietet*. 2019;119(10):PA146.  
40  
41  
42 43. Lynch EB, Liebman R, Ventrelle J et al. A self-management intervention for African Americans  
43  
44 with comorbid diabetes and hypertension: A pilot randomized controlled trial. *Public Health Res Pract*  
45  
46 *Policy*. 2014; 11:130349.  
47  
48  
49 44. Hwang T-J, Peisah C, Reichman W et al. Loneliness and social isolation during the COVID-19  
50  
51 pandemic. *Internat. Psychogeriatrics*. 2020; doi.1017/S1041610220000988.  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

- 1  
2  
3  
4 45. Weiss L. COVID Can't Stop Us: How to Deliver Live Virtual Cooking Classes and Demos on  
5  
6 Zoom. Today's Dietitian. October 14, 2020. <http://ce.todaysdietitian.com/freeCE>. Accessed October  
7  
8 31, 2020.  
9
- 10  
11 46. Bass H. Cooking demos what's holding you back. August 11, 2020. <https://foodandhealth.com>.  
12  
13 Accessed September 30, 2020.  
14  
15  
16  
17 47. Collier C, Jennings J, Cummings DM et al. Healthier lives at school and beyond: linking rural NC  
18  
19 schools to expanded resources for care and counseling via Telehealth. Rural Health Symposium,  
20  
21 Greenville, NC Feb 2020.  
22  
23  
24  
25 48. Jennings J, Collier C, Barnett H et al. Healthier lives at school and beyond. Implementation and  
26  
27 growth of an interdisciplinary school-based Telehealth initiative across a rural North Carolina  
28  
29 community. Presented MATRC Regional Telehealth Summit, Charlotte, NC June, 2020.  
30  
31  
32  
33 49. North Carolina Division of Health and Human Services. Use Your WIC During COVID-19.  
34  
35 [www.nutritionnc.com/wic](http://www.nutritionnc.com/wic). Accessed September 30, 2020.  
36  
37  
38  
39 50. Matarese L. Nutrition Interventions for COVID-19. Brody School of Medicine Grand Rounds,  
40  
41 Greenville, NC. May 21, 2020  
42  
43  
44 51. ESPEN. ESPEN expert statements and practical guidance for nutrition management of  
45  
46 individuals with SARS-CoV-2 infection. Clin Nutr. 2020; 39:1631-1638.  
47  
48  
49 52. Anon. Tune in To Telehealth. Food&Nutrition Mag. 2020;9(3):19-21. [Foodandnutrition.org](http://Foodandnutrition.org)  
50  
51 Accessed July 19, 2020  
52  
53  
54  
55 53. Academy of Nutr and Dietet. COVID-19 stories and experiences. Food & Nutrition.  
56  
57 <https://foodandnutrition.org/covid-19/covid-19-stories-and-experiences>. Accessed September 30,  
58  
59 2020.  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65



- 1  
2  
3  
4 54. Bonci L. RDNs Perspectives on Navigating Nutrition Now. <https://ce.todaysdietitian.com>.  
5  
6  
7 September 23, 2020. Accessed October 31, 2020.  
8  
9  
10 55. Jain V, Al Rifai M, Lee MT et al. Racial and geographic disparities in Internet use in the U.S.  
11 among patients with hypertension or diabetes: implications for Telehealth in the era of Coronavirus  
12 disease 2019. *Diabetes Care*. 2020. [Doi.org?10.2337/dc20-2016](https://doi.org/10.2337/dc20-2016).  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17 56. NCQA. Taskforce on Telehealth Policy (TTP): Findings and Recommendations. National  
18 Committee for Quality Assurance, Washington DC. September 2020.  
19  
20  
21  
22 [https://www.ncqa.org/programs/data-and-information-technology/telehealth/taskforce-on-telehealth-](https://www.ncqa.org/programs/data-and-information-technology/telehealth/taskforce-on-telehealth-policy/?utm_medium=email&utm_campaign=TTP-QIS&utm_source=sf)  
23 [policy/?utm\\_medium=email&utm\\_campaign=TTP-QIS&utm\\_source=sf](https://www.ncqa.org/programs/data-and-information-technology/telehealth/taskforce-on-telehealth-policy/?utm_medium=email&utm_campaign=TTP-QIS&utm_source=sf). Accessed September 30, 2020.  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

30  
31 Figure 1. Weight record for case 1  
32

33  
34 Figure 2. Keto plan  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39

#### 40 **Acknowledgements**

41  
42  
43 We would like to acknowledge Jana Schellinger MLIS, AHIP who contributed to the literature review; Dr.  
44  
45 Paul Cook, Lauren Chamber, Nichole Allen, Michelle DeHart, David Laurent for contributions to the  
46  
47 supplement protocol.  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

## BIOS

Kathryn Kolasa is Professor Emerita, Brody School of Medicine at East Carolina University and contributing editor, Nutrition Today.

Kay Craven is Section Head, Nutrition Services in the Department of Family Medicine, Brody School of Medicine at East Carolina University, Greenville, North Carolina, and director of Clinical Nutrition Services, ECU Physicians, Greenville.

Kelly Nordby is Program Coordinator, Eat Smart, Move More, Weigh Less and Eat Smart, Move More Prevent Diabetes Program, North Carolina State University, Raleigh NC.

Lindsay Drier is Clinical Dietitian III, Vidant Nutrition & Weight Loss Clinic, Greenville, NC.

Kathleen Ascanio is Nutrition Specialist, Department of Family Medicine, Brody School of Medicine at East Carolina University.

Robin Tant is Nutrition Director WIC Director, Pitt County Health Department Center, Greenville, NC.

Figu




Figure 1. Weight trend

## Keto Diet - Modified: The Plate Method for Meal Planning

### What is the Keto Diet

The keto diet is a high-fat, low-carbohydrate diet that was traditionally used to treat seizure disorders in children. The keto diet is only recommended for people who can meet with their healthcare teams regularly. It is not recommended for people:

- Type 1 diabetes
- Pregnant or breastfeeding
- Had gastric bypass surgery
- Been told you have heart failure
- Body mass index (BMI) less than 30
- History of restrictive eating and/or eating disorders
- Other medical conditions as defined by your doctor
- Have liver problems (including hepatitis) or chronic kidney disease, or who have had liver or kidney transplants

**Talk to your healthcare team to decide if the keto diet is right for you. There are many different ways to reach a healthy weight other than following a keto diet.**

### Why is it important for me to follow this diet

Your doctor has said that your health would benefit from weight loss, and you and your doctor have chosen the keto diet approach. If you need help improving your nutritional wellbeing or have nutrition-related questions, please ask for a referral to a registered dietitian nutritionist (RDN).

### What do I need to do

Before you start the keto diet, your doctor may order some tests to decide if it is safe for you to start the diet. These tests should include:

- Fasting lipids
- Complete blood count (CBC) with platelets
- Electrolytes including serum bicarbonate, total protein, calcium
- Serum liver and kidney tests including albumin, AST/ALT, BUN, creatinine

Food choices for the, modified keto diet:

- Choose healthy fats, such as avocado, cooking oils (olive, canola, avocado, safflower, sunflower, sesame), nuts (walnuts, almonds, cashews, pecans, Brazil nuts), seeds (pumpkin, sunflower, chia, flax), nut butters, and olives; choose fatty fish like salmon, mackerel, anchovies, sardines, and herring.
- Eat these foods sparingly (if at all): processed meats (like bacon, sausage, and hot dogs), butter, coconut oil or palm oil, and heavy cream.
- Choose healthy cooking methods such as grilling, baking, broiling, stir-frying, or steaming.

You may feel the symptoms (listed below) while following the keto diet. If symptoms are severe or persistent, call your doctor.

- Constipation
- Nausea or vomiting
- Abdominal pain

- Irritability
- Tired or sleepy
- Feel weak
- Headache
- Low exercise tolerance
- High or low blood sugar; or symptoms such as dizziness, sweating, and confusion

© 2019 Vidant Health. All rights reserved.

This information is not intended as a substitute for professional medical care.

Always follow your health care provider's instructions.

Call- Outs (highlighted in yellow in the text)

## Introduction

Some hospitals, clinics, WIC programs, and public health agencies deemed their RDNs as essential workers, and their workload may have increased, others were furloughed, some were offered non-nutrition work, or others transitioned to remote work

He recognized his gender and obesity put him at higher risk for COVID. He was really worried about working from and eating at home and asked if he could attend his visits by phone.

. She showed patience and provided clear instructions to talk them through the process of connecting

Telephone counseling addresses barriers of geographic inaccessibility and patient and provider time constraints.

. She misses the personal contact of the face-to-face but says it works because they had a face-to-face contact to make the program personal when it went online.

the main purpose of the Facebook page is for participants to communicate and share resources among themselves, the instructors report this requires about an additional 10 minutes per week to respond to participant posts or to create a brief post to inspire conversation among participants.

Remind herself and others that while the world and the health care

system has focused on COVID, people had health concerns before COVID, and these health concerns continue to be a challenge and will be when COVID is over

. With the closure of schools due to COVID the RDNs had to find alternate ways of connecting with the children and their families outside of school buildings where Wi-Fi access is readily available and reliable.

, all WIC staff contacted as many of the recipients as they could reach using the Stated Issued Report to ensure the participants were aware that their benefits were loaded.

COVID-19 presented challenges and opportunities for the nutrition community to demonstrate its ability to innovate and adapt to provide nutrition care to our patients and communities.