This article has been accepted for publication in *Tobacco Control* following peer review, and the Version of Record can be accessed online at <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2021-057037</u> © Authors

**Research Letter** (Word Count: 533/500)

## Widening sexual orientation inequities in smoking among older adults in the United States,

### 2015-2019

Jie Yang, PhD, MSW,<sup>1</sup> Joseph G. L. Lee, PhD, MPH<sup>2,3</sup>

- 1. School of Social Work, College of Health and Human Performance, East Carolina University, Greenville, NC, USA
- Department of Health Education and Promotion, College of Health and Human Performance and Center for Health Disparities, Brody School of Medicine, East Carolina University, Greenville, NC, USA
- 3. Cancer Prevention and Control, UNC Lineberger Comprehensive Cancer Center, Chapel Hill, NC, USA

Correspondence to Dr Jie Yang, East Carolina University, 1000 East 5th Street Stop 505,

Greenville, North Carolina, 27858, USA; yangji18@ecu.edu

A recent editorial in *Tobacco Control* noted the neglect of older adults in the field of tobacco control, arguing that we must do better.[1] This research letter seeks to answer that call and amplify its message by assessing what is missed in health equity research when older adults are ignored in another area of inequity: differences in smoking by sexual orientation.[2, 3] Older lesbian, gay, and bisexual (LGB) adults often have been rendered invisible in popular culture with detrimental effects.[4] While evidence clearly shows LGB adults overall have higher risk of smoking than their heterosexual counterparts,[2] research and interventions for addressing LGB smoking inequities, with some exceptions,<sup>e.g.</sup>[5] neglect older adults.[6] This letter examines trends in inequities in smoking between LGB and heterosexual older adults.

### Methods

We used pooled data from the 2015-2019 National Survey on Drug Use and Health (NSDUH), a repeating cross-sectional, nationally representative survey of U.S. residents age  $\geq 12$ . We estimated smoking prevalence for older adults aged  $\geq 50$  (N=43,956) by gender and sexual identity. Smoking was defined as having smoked in the last 30 days and having smoked  $\geq 100$  cigarettes. Following the National Center for Health Statistics guidelines for analysis of trends,[7] we used logistic regression to examine the association between continuous year (time) and smoking controlling for sexual identity (Model 1). We also tested inequities in change using an interaction between year and sexual identities (Model 2). Sensitivity analysis showed that results did not change significantly after controlling for race/ethnicity and/or income. Presented results do not include these variables. We used design-based weights and excluded respondents with missing sexual orientation (1.21%).

#### Results

Smoking prevalence was 15.65% among heterosexual and 20.83% among sexual minority respondents, respectively; 2.54% of respondents identified as LGB. In Model 1, there was a significant decreasing trend of smoking among all older adults: With each passing year, the odds of smoking went down (aOR 0.98, 95%CI 0.95-1.00). Heterosexual men (aOR 1.22, 95%CI 1.15-1.30), gay men (aOR 1.57, 95%CI 1.15-2.13), bisexual women (aOR 1.40, 95%CI 0.94-2.09), and lesbian women (aOR 1.58, 95%CI 1.11-2.24) had a higher likelihood of smoking than heterosexual women. In Model 2, there was a significant interaction between year and sexual identity (aOR 1.34, 95%CI 1.02-1.75). That is, the change in likelihood of smoking from 2015 to 2019 for bisexual women was significantly different than the change for heterosexual women. Figure 1 shows the predicted probabilities of smoking over time with decreasing or flat probabilities for heterosexual men and women as well as for gay and bisexual men. This was not the case for bisexual women for whom the sexual orientation inequity in smoking is worsening. **Discussion** 

Widening inequities in current smoking across some sexual identities among older adults indicate the importance of ensuring cessation campaigns for LGB adults are not just reaching younger adults. Limitations include self-reporting of identity and the omission of gender identity from the NSDUH. As documented in prior work, LGB older adults are at higher risk of smoking than their heterosexual counterparts,[5] and bisexual women may be at particular risk of smoking.[3] For tobacco-related inequities to get better, we must do better at identifying inequities among older adults and prioritizing the inclusion of, in particular, bisexual women for cessation interventions.

### Funding

This study was supported by an East Carolina University 2021 Health Behavior Research Cluster seed grant.

# **Conflicts of Interest**

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

### **Contribution Statement**

JY and JGLL developed the research question; JY conducted statistical analyses; JY and JGLL contributed equally to drafting the manuscript.

### References

- [1] McAfee T, Malone RE, Cataldo J. Ignoring our elders: tobacco control's forgotten health equity issue. *Tob Control* 2021;**30**(5):479-480.
- [2] Li J, Berg CJ, Weber AA, *et al.* Tobacco use at the intersection of sex and sexual identity in the U.S., 2007-2020: a meta-analysis. *Am J Prev Med* 2021;**60**(3):415-424.
- [3] Shokoohi M, Salway T, Ahn B, *et al.* Disparities in the prevalence of cigarette smoking among bisexual people: a systematic review, meta-analysis and meta-regression. *Tob Control* 2021;**30**(e2):e78-e86.
- [4] Brown MT. LGBT aging and rhetorical silence. *Sex Res Social Policy* 2009;6(4):65-78.
- [5] Fredriksen-Goldsen KI, Kim HJ, Barkan SE, *et al.* Health disparities among lesbian, gay, and bisexual older adults: results from a population-based study. *Am J Public Health* 2013;**103**(10):1802-1809.
- [6] Lee JG, Matthews AK, McCullen CA, *et al.* Promotion of tobacco use cessation for lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender people: a systematic review. *Am J Prev Med* 2014;47(6):823-831.

[7] Ingram DD, Malec DJ, Makuc DM, *et al.* National Center for Health Statistics Guidelines for Analysis of Trends. *Vital Health Stat 2* 2018(179):1-71.