



Published in final edited form as:

Health Promot Pract. 2020 September ; 21(5): 764–768. doi:10.1177/1524839920908226.

Perceived importance of health concerns among a nationally representative sample of lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender adults, 2017

Marcella H. Boynton, PhD¹, Jeffrey Gilbert, MPH¹, Bonnie E. Shook-Sa, MAS¹, Joseph G. L. Lee, PhD, MPH^{1,2}

¹University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA;

²East Carolina University, Greenville, NC USA

Abstract

Perceptions of the importance of health problems can drive advocacy, policy change, resource distribution, and individual behaviors. However, little is known about how lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender (LGBT), i.e., sexual and gender minority (SGM) adults view the health problems facing SGM populations. In a 2017 national, probability-based survey of U.S. SGM adults ($N=453$), we asked respondents to identify the most serious health problem facing SGM people today. Participants also rated the seriousness of five specific health problems (HIV/AIDS, suicide, hate crimes, harmful alcohol use, tobacco use). Analyses accounted for the complex sampling design and were stratified by gender identity. One quarter of U.S. SGM adults identified the most serious health problem facing SGM people to be HIV/AIDS (95% CI: 20.3%–31.2%). More respondents stated there were no serious LGBT health differences compared to straight/cisgender adults (4.2%, CI: 2.6%–5.9%) than identified tobacco use, hate crimes, chronic diseases, cancer, or suicide as the most serious. Importance ratings differed by gender and tobacco/alcohol use were perceived as less serious compared to HIV/AIDS, suicide, and hate crimes. Attention paid to HIV/AIDS by the SGM public, while important, may hinder efforts to address chronic diseases and other health issues affecting SGM people.

Keywords

sexual and gender minorities; tobacco smoking; surveys and questionnaires

INTRODUCTION

There are substantial differences in health by sexual orientation and gender identity that disadvantage sexual and gender minority (SGM, e.g., lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender) populations. To address these inequities, SGM communities have organized to improve healthcare, develop health centers that serve SGM populations, adapt interventions for SGM communities, and build networks and coalitions to address specific health topics

*Corresponding author: Dr. Marcella H. Boynton, Department of Health Behavior, UNC Gillings School of Global Public Health, CB #7440; 309 Rosenau Hall, Chapel Hill, NC 27599, mhb23@unc.edu.

(e.g., HIV/AIDS, tobacco control, violence, cancer). Prominent have been efforts to address HIV and AIDS, including iconic activism by the AIDS Coalition to Unleash Power (ACT-UP) and others (Halcli, 1999). Similarly, community and public attention to SGM suicide and hate crimes have been driven by attention to problems in communities and coverage in the media (Savage & Miller, 2012).

Several theoretical and conceptual approaches illustrate why public attention to a health problem matters to addressing inequities. Theories of agenda setting suggest that policy agendas are driven in part by attention and media coverage (Scheufele, 2000). Similarly, the “issue attention cycle” posits that attention to a problem can drive interventions (Downs, 2016). Finally, public health practice recognizes the importance of media advocacy to drive changes (Drabble, Keatley, & Marcelle, 2006). In addition to mobilizing communities and driving policy agendas, perceptions of health issues important to SGM communities can also influence individual health behaviors. The importance of HIV to the SGM community may drive individual testing behaviors and safer-sex practices by, for example, changing individuals’ perceived vulnerability and motivation to protect oneself.

Despite the historic and current importance of SGM communities’ perceptions of health problems, there is little data regarding what health issues SGM communities view as most salient. Only limited evidence is available about what those perceptions are. For example, one venue-based (i.e., bar and club) study ($N=660$) found HIV and STIs were rated highest of issues of importance among gay and bisexual men and smoking was rated least important (Gro, Ventuneac, Rendina, Jimenez, & Parsons, 2013). In a Houston, Texas, convenience sample ($N=99$), just 6% of participants listed smoking or secondhand smoke exposure as a top-three health problem (Tami-Maury et al., 2015).

It is important to understand where perceptions of problems may not align with premature death and disease. That is, not all problems receive attention proportionate to their actual contribution to premature death and diseases. For example, SGM populations smoke at much higher rates than other groups (Buchting et al., 2017; Wheldon, Kaufman, Kasza, & Moser, 2018). As such, tobacco use is a leading cause of death among SGM people given existing disparities (Max, Stark, Sung, & Offen, 2019). Nonetheless, the disproportionate effect of tobacco use on the SGM community has received scant media attention and is not viewed as an important community issue by community members (Smith, Thomson, Offen, & Malone, 2008).

Given the theoretical and practical importance of media and public attention to health problems, substantial health inequities for SGM populations, and limited probability-based data, we sought to (1) assess the salience of health issues relevant to SGM adults and (2) assess how tobacco use is perceived in relation to other key health issues in a national, probability-based survey.

METHODS

From July–October 2017 we administered a cross-sectional phone survey to a sample of English speaking SGM adults living in the U.S. (Lee et al., 2020) who were recruited as a

follow-up to a larger, probability-based tobacco use survey study implemented in two waves of data collection (Boynton et al., 2016; Jeong et al., 2019). SGM individuals were recruited to the parent tobacco use survey as part of the probability-based sampling procedure or as part of a supplemental respondent-driven sampling chain. In all cases, participants had a known probability of selection and therefore weights could be constructed to generate nationally representative estimates. A minimum of six call attempts were made to contact every eligible SGM participant, yielding a final sample of 453 SGM adults.

After the screening and consent process was complete the interviewer made the statement, “I’d like to start by asking you about issues important to the LGBT community. I will be using the term LGBT throughout this survey to refer to anyone who is lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender or queer. I appreciate your understanding if the term LGBT does not completely capture your identity.” The interviewer then asked, “What do you think is the most serious health problem facing LGBT people today?” and typed the response verbatim. Respondents were then asked how serious of a problem each of five randomly ordered health issues are for people who are LGBT (alcohol abuse,¹ hate crimes, HIV/AIDS, smoking, suicide) where 0=*not at all serious*, 1=*somewhat serious*, 2=*very serious*, and 3=*extremely serious*. For the open-ended response, two coders developed a codebook, independently coded a sample of responses ($n=60$), and achieved acceptable reliability, Krippendorff’s alpha=0.90 (95% CI:0.80–0.98) (Hayes & Krippendorff, 2007). To further ensure reliability, we then double coded all responses, and any differences were reconciled through discussion. These measures were adapted from prior work by Grov and colleagues (2013) and by Gallup (2018). The full survey instrument is available in our institutional repository (University of North Carolina Dataverse, accession doi:10.15139/S3/BX0RZE, available from: <https://dataverse.unc.edu/dataset.xhtml?persistentId=doi:10.15139/S3/BX0RZE>).

Because there are substantial differences by gender in SGM health and because HIV/AIDS disproportionately impacts sexual minority men and transgender women, we conducted analyses stratified by gender identity. The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill Institutional Review Board reviewed and approved the study protocol (#13–2779).

RESULTS

Participant characteristics are provided in our online Dataverse repository cited above. Table 1 shows frequencies and weighted proportions of responses identifying the most serious health problem facing LGBT people today, stratified by gender identity.

For all groups, HIV/AIDS (25.7%) and STIs/unprotected sex (17.6%) were the most common responses; limitations in health services access, care, and information were also commonly cited (11.0%). More participants reported that they thought no disparity existed (4.2%) than those that indicated discrimination/exclusion, tobacco use, hate crimes, chronic diseases, cancer, or suicide.

¹Although the term “alcohol abuse” has potentially stigmatizing connotations, this was the term used in the survey to represent patterns of harmful or potentially harmful alcohol use. We use “alcohol use” or “harmful alcohol use”, as appropriate, throughout the rest of the manuscript and encourage readers to explore the substantial research on how language contributes to stigma around substance use disorders. See <https://www.recoveryanswers.org/research-post/the-real-stigma-of-substance-use-disorders/> for helpful resources and research on the language of substance use and addiction.

As shown in our institutional repository supplemental table cited above, when we asked participants to rate the seriousness of five topics presented in random order, participants rated suicide ($M=2.26$, 95% CI: 2.17–2.36), hate crimes ($M=2.21$, 95% CI: 2.11–2.30), and HIV ($M=2.12$, 95% CI: 2.03–2.21) as significantly more serious health problems for the LGBT community compared to harmful alcohol use ($M=1.69$, 95% CI: 1.59–1.78) and smoking ($M=1.64$, 95% CI: 1.54–1.74). We identified significant differences in the ratings for hate crimes by gender identity, with cisgender sexual minority women rating hate crimes as a more serious health issue ($M=2.36$, 95% CI: 2.25–2.46) compared to cisgender sexual minority men ($M=2.01$, 95% CI: 1.85–2.16) and cisgender sexual minority women rating smoking as a less serious problem ($M=1.52$, 95% CI: 1.39–1.65) compared to cisgender sexual minority men ($M=1.78$, 95% CI: 1.64–1.93).

DISCUSSION

We find that SGM adults recognize the importance of HIV/AIDS, STIs, and unprotected sexual encounters as serious problems as well as access to appropriate health care and information. Taken together, our findings suggest that health issues connected to immediate harms (e.g., HIV infection, health services access, violence) are more salient, and therefore perceived as more serious, to SGM adults. For example, smoking, which is a leading cause of death and disability for U.S. adults and for LGBT individuals (Max et al., 2019), was not generally perceived as a serious health risk to LGBT people.

Access to quality healthcare is a major concern in the U.S. population and an important political topic. However, our findings suggest that the top health concerns for SGM populations may differ from those of the general public in important ways, specifically, by including a focus on HIV/AIDS. Addressing inequities in HIV/AIDS for SGM men and gender minority women remains an important area of work, given, for example, the striking inequities in HIV prevalence by race and gender identity among SGM populations (Matthews et al., 2016). HIV/AIDS has historically been viewed as a core issue of SGM health; however, improvements in care (e.g., antiretroviral therapy) are resulting in chronic diseases replacing HIV/AIDS as leading causes of death for people living with HIV/AIDS (Helleberg et al., 2015).

Our findings may be of particular concern for advocates working to address chronic diseases among SGM populations. For example, our findings may help explain the lack of traction that SGM tobacco control advocates report when speaking to SGM community leaders (Smith et al., 2008) and why certain messaging around SGM health disparities performs poorly (Lee et al., 2017). Lack of SGM public attention to more distal health issues may, in turn, hinder efforts to successfully encourage SGM organizations to address health issues such as tobacco use and cardiovascular disease and hinder media advocacy efforts (Drabble et al., 2006).

Regarding public health and health promotion practice, our findings suggest the importance of engaging with SGM communities and SGM community organizations on a broad range of health topics. For example, this could include invitations to SGM community leaders to participate in advisory boards and as community partners. The findings also highlight the

importance of health advocates working on chronic disease topics participating in community events. And, it suggests the important need for getting chronic disease topics covered by media tailored to SGM populations.

This study's strengths of probability sampling of a marginalized population and use of an open-ended response option for health problems must be balanced against its limitations. First, our weights are based on the National Health Interview Survey and are thus limited by the ways in which that survey captured SGM identity. Second, we asked our questions in the context of a survey explicitly about tobacco use and SGM health and we used the term "alcohol abuse" as opposed to less stigmatizing terms such as "alcohol use", "alcohol use disorder", or "harmful alcohol use". This measurement approach may have affected the stated levels of concern for smoking and alcohol use in the SGM community. Third, our small sample of transgender/nonbinary adults did not allow for meaningful comparisons with the cisgender groups.

CONCLUSION

Efforts to address SGM health beyond HIV/AIDS may be hindered by the focus of the SGM public on the importance of HIV and other sexually transmitted infections. Although a continued focus on averting HIV transmission and connecting HIV positive patients with care is essential, a greater emphasis on burgeoning health issues for the SGM community, such as smoking, is needed.

Acknowledgements

Research reported in this publication was supported by the National Cancer Institute of the National Institutes of Health and Food and Drug Administration Center for Tobacco Products (CTP) under Award Number P50CA180907. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health or the Food and Drug Administration. The funder had no role in the design of the study or in data collection, data analysis, interpretation, and writing of the manuscript. The authors thank Kurt Ribisl, Noel T. Brewer, and Quirina Vallejos for assistance with development of the survey items and Anna Hoffmeyer for her expertise in managing administration of the telephone survey.

Author Bios

Dr. Marcella H. Boynton, PhD, is assistant professor of health behavior, UNC Gillings School of Global Public Health, Chapel Hill, NC, USA.

At the time of this study, Mr. Jeffrey Gilbert, MPH, was research assistant in the Department of Health Behavior, UNC Gillings School of Global Public Health, Chapel Hill, NC, USA.

Ms. Bonnie E. Shook-Sa, MAS, is PhD student in the Department of Biostatistics, UNC Gillings School of Global Public Health, Chapel Hill, NC, USA.

Dr. Joseph G. L. Lee, PhD, MPH, is associate professor of Health Education and Promotion, East Carolina University, Greenville, NC, USA.

References

- Buchting FO, Emory KT, Scout, Kim Y, Fagan P, Vera LE, & Emery S (2017). Transgender use of cigarettes, cigars, and e-cigarettes in a national study. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 53(1), e1–e7. doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2016.11.022 [PubMed: 28094133]
- Downs A (2016). Up and down with ecology: The “issue-attention cycle” In Protes D & McCombs ME (Eds.), *Agenda Setting: Readings on Media, Public Opinion, and Policymaking* (pp. 27–34). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Drabble L, Keatley JG, & Marcelle G (2006). Media strategies for advancing health in lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender communities In Shankle MD (Ed.), *Handbook of Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, & Transgender Public Health: A Practitioner’s Guide to Service* (pp. 335–352). New York: Harrington Park Press.
- Gallup. (2018). Satisfaction with the U.S. and most important problem (trends). Retrieved from <https://news.gallup.com/poll/237392/satisfaction-important-problem-trends.aspx>
- Grov C, Ventuneac A, Rendina HJ, Jimenez RH, & Parsons JT (2013). Perceived importance of five different health issues for gay and bisexual men: Implications for new directions in health education and prevention. *American Journal of Mens Health*, 7(4), 274–284. doi:10.1177/1557988312463419
- Halcli A (1999). AIDS, anger, and activism: ACT UP as a social movement organizations In Freeman J & Johnson V (Eds.), *Waves of protest: Social movements since the sixties* (pp. 135–152). Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield.
- Hayes AF, & Krippendorff K (2007). Answering the call for a standard reliability measure for coding data. *Communication Methods and Measures*, 1(1), 77–89. doi:10.1080/19312450709336664
- Helleberg M, May MT, Ingle SM, Dabis F, Reiss P, Fatkenheuer G, ... Obel N (2015). Smoking and life expectancy among HIV-infected individuals on antiretroviral therapy in Europe and North America. *AIDS*, 29(2), 221–229. doi:10.1097/qad.0000000000000540 [PubMed: 25426809]
- Lee JG, Landrine H, Martin RJ, Matthews DD, Averett PE, & Niederdeppe J (2017). Reasons for caution when emphasizing health disparities for sexual and gender minority adults in public health campaigns. *American Journal of Public Health*, 107(8), 1223–1225. doi:10.2105/ajph.2017.303883 [PubMed: 28700295]
- Lee JG, Shook-Sa BE, Gilbert J, Ranney LM, Goldstein AO, & Boynton MH (2020). Risk, resilience, and smoking in a national, probability sample of sexual and gender minority adults, 2017, USA. *Health Education and Behavior*, Advance Access (doi:10.1177/1090198119893374).
- Matthews DD, Herrick AL, Coulter RW, Friedman MR, Mills TC, Eaton LA, ... Stall RD (2016). Running backwards: Consequences of current HIV incidence rates for the next generation of Black MSM in the United States. *AIDS and Behavior*, 20(1), 7–16. doi:10.1007/s10461-015-1158-z [PubMed: 26267251]
- Max WB, Stark BB, Sung HY, & Offen NB (2019). Deaths from smoking and from HIV/AIDS among gay and bisexual men in California, 2005–2050. *Tobacco Control*, published Advance Access on May 30, 2019. doi:10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2018-054850
- Savage D, & Miller T (Eds.). (2012). *It Gets Better: Coming Out, Overcoming Bullying, and Creating a Life Worth Living*. New York: Penguin.
- Scheufele DA (2000). Agenda-Setting, priming, and framing revisited: Another look at cognitive effects of political communication. *Mass Communication & Society*, 3(2/3), 297. doi:10.1207/S15327825MCS0323_07
- Smith EA, Thomson K, Offen N, & Malone RE (2008). “If you know you exist, it’s just marketing poison”: Meanings of tobacco industry targeting in the lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender community. *American Journal of Public Health*, 98(6), 996–1003. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2007.118174 [PubMed: 18445800]
- Tami-Maury I, Lin MT, Lapham HL, Hong JH, Cage C, Shete S, & Gritz ER (2015). A pilot study to assess tobacco use among sexual minorities in Houston, Texas. *American Journal of Addictions*, 24(5), 391–395. doi:10.1111/ajad.12244
- Wheldon CW, Kaufman AR, Kasza KA, & Moser RP (2018). Tobacco use among adults by sexual orientation: Findings from the Population Assessment of Tobacco and Health Study. *LGBT Health*, 5(1), 33–44. doi:10.1089/lgbt.2017.0175 [PubMed: 29324177]

TABLE 1.

Topic identified as most serious health problem facing LGBT people today, coded from open responses, unweighted *n*'s and weighted proportions, *N*= 453, 2017

		Weighted % [95% CI]			
	Unweighted Frequencies	Total (<i>N</i> = 453)	Females (<i>n</i> = 268)	Males (<i>n</i> = 159)	Transgender or nonbinary (<i>n</i> = 26)
HIV or AIDS	108	25.7% [20.3, 31.2]	20.8% [14.0, 27.6]	31.1% [22.7, 39.5]	33.5% [8.32, 58.6]
STIs or unprotected sex	83	17.6% [13.2, 22.1]	15.9% [10.3, 21.5]	20.2% [13.0, 27.4]	12.6% [3.31, 21.9]
Health services access, care, or information	53	11.0% [6.61, 15.4]	12.8% [6.60, 19.0]	9.85% [3.05, 16.7]	0.12% [0.00, 0.37]
Mental health	52	10.7% [7.02, 14.3]	14.6% [9.18, 20.0]	6.85% [1.89, 11.8]	0.03% [0.00, 0.10]
Substance use (alcohol, drugs, opioids)	38	10.1% [5.35, 14.8]	5.84% [1.92, 9.77]	14.7% [5.17, 24.2]	16.4% [0.00, 37.0]
Don't know	24	5.06% [2.61, 7.50]	6.21% [3.10, 9.32]	4.11% [0.35, 7.87]	.
No disparity with straight/cisgender people	20	4.24% [2.58, 5.91]	4.85% [2.41, 7.29]	3.52% [1.29, 5.75]	4.11% [0.00, 12.6]
Discrimination or social exclusion	14	2.75% [0.89, 4.62]	4.71% [1.30, 8.12]	0.62% [0.00, 1.49]	.
Tobacco use	14	2.27% [0.68, 3.87]	1.99% [0.00, 4.07]	2.02% [0.00, 4.37]	8.76% [0.00, 18.4]
Hate or hate crimes	11	2.40% [0.55, 2.24]	2.45% [0.00, 4.92]	1.68% [0.00, 4.39]	9.45% [0.00, 19.8]
Obesity, cardiovascular issues, or diabetes	11	3.38% [0.57, 6.19]	4.92% [0.46, 9.38]	1.80% [0.00, 5.17]	.
Cancer	8	1.69% [0.30, 3.08]	2.73% [0.27, 5.19]	0.57% [0.00, 1.69]	.
Suicide	7	1.08% [0.11, 2.05]	1.41% [0.04, 2.77]	0.78% [0.00, 2.33]	.
Current political climate	6	0.93% [0.00, 1.99]	0.26% [0.00, 0.62]	1.09% [0.00, 3.15]	7.94% [0.00, 17.9]
Other	6	1.10% [0.00, 2.29]	0.61% [0.00, 1.42]	1.14% [0.00, 3.39]	7.16% [0.00, 20.9]